



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/542,865 | 01/10/2006 | Gabriel Sirat | 30238 | 8952 |
| 67801 | 7590 | 10/27/2008 | | |
| MARTIN D. MOYNIHAN d/b/a PRTSI, INC. P.O. BOX 16446 ARLINGTON, VA 22215 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | EVANS, FANNIE L | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2877 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 10/27/2008 | | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/542,865 | SIRAT ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | F. L. Evans | 2877 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) F. L. Evans (primary examiner).

(3) Dr. Eran Naftali (patent attorney).

(2) Martin D. Moynihan (attorney of record).

(4) Dr David Vaknin and Dr. Gabriel Sirat (applicants).

Date of Interview: 23 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 428, 472 and 476.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nagai and Funk.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between the claimed invention and the applied prior art was discussed (angle dependent phase shift). Applicant proposed to added the underlined subject matter in claim 472 into claims 428 and 476. The finality of the rejection was questioned.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/F. L. Evans/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2877